

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,979	02/27/2002	Dexter Lee Porterfield	01-248	6869	
7:	590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER		
DEXTER L. PORTERFIELD			BROWN, MICHAEL A		
P.O. BOX 144 DARIEN, GA	31305		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,	3764		
	•		DATE MAILED: 11/17/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

restart time(3)

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0 1	Cilia
Office Action Summary	10/083,979	Vex +	er Porter	TIKIR
Office Action Gainmary	Examiner	<i>l</i> 2	Group Art Unit	
	Michael	Brown	13764	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she	et beneath the co	orrespondence add	dresș—
eriod for Reply		-	,	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S	S) FROM THE MAIL	JNG DATE
F THIS COMMUNICATION.			•	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by d Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	s, a reply within the statutor efault, expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the applica	y minimum of thirty (HS from the mailing o tion to become ABA	30) days will be consident date of this communica NDONED (35 U.S.C. §	ered timely. tion. 133).
tatus				,
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				··
☐ This action is FINAL.				•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	cept for formal matters, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G	prosecution as 213.	to the merits is cl	osed in
isposition of Claims			•	
☑ Claim(s)	· ·	is/are	pending in the appli	cation.
Of the above claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)				•
(Section(s) 1-4 and 6-11		is/are ı	ejected.	
☑ Claim(s)		is/are	objected to.	
□ Claim(s)		are sul	oject to restriction o	r election
pplication Papers		require	anem	
	is 🗆 approv		ed.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		•		:
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o		iner		
		iner		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o	bjected to by the Exam	iner		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner.	bjected to by the Exam	iner		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	bjected to by the Examer.		·	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner thority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	bjected to by the Examer.		*	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	bjected to by the Examer. rity under 35 U.S.C. § 1		*	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner it ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	bjected to by the Examer. rity under 35 U.S.C. § 1 ren received.	19 (a)–(d).	* . :	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prioring the same of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be	bjected to by the Examer. Firity under 35 U.S.C. § 1 Firen received. en received in Applicat	19 (a)–(d). ion No	*	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	bjected to by the Examer. Fity under 35 U.S.C. § 1 Fine received. The received in Application of the proceived of the proc	19 (a)–(d). ion No ed	*:	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	bjected to by the Examer. Fity under 35 U.S.C. § 1 Fine received. The received in Application of the proceived of the proc	19 (a)–(d). ion No ed	·	_
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	bjected to by the Examer. Fity under 35 U.S.C. § 1 Fine received. The received in Application of the proceived of the proc	19 (a)–(d). ion No ed	·	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	bjected to by the Examer. Firity under 35 U.S.C. § 1 Firen received. Firen received in Applicational Bureau (PCT Rule	19 (a)–(d). ion No ed	•	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	bjected to by the Examer. Firity under 35 U.S.C. § 1 Firen received. Firen received in Applicational Bureau (PCT Rule	19 (a)–(d). ion Noed 17.2(a)) □ Interview Sum	mary, PTO-413	 tion, PTO-15
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	bjected to by the Examer. Fity under 35 U.S.C. § 1 Fine received. Fine received in Applicational Bureau (PCT Rule) Fine No(s).	19 (a)–(d). ion No ed 17.2(a)) ☐ Interview Sum ☐ Notice of Infor	•	 tion, PTO-15

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Prokop.

Prokop discloses in figures 1-2 a therapeutic support pad 10 comprising a pliable and elastic elongated core 50 (the core is a gel type material), a flexible wall member 40 and an elongated tubular member 30 that covers the wall member. The tubular member is made of a polymeric foam (spandex) which is less pliable than the gel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-4 and 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prokop in view of Carter.

,,

Application/Control Number: 10/083,979

Art Unit: 3764

Prokop discloses in figures 1-2 a therapeutic support pad, substantially as claimed.

Page 3

However, Prokop does not disclose a cover having at least one elastic strap. Carter teaches in

figure 2A a cover 30 having at least one elastic strap 42. It would have been obvious to one

having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the cover and the

elastic strap as taught by Carter could be used to cover any object including the pad disclosed by

Prokop in order to keep the pad clear before it is used. It is inherent that the pad could be the

diameters and the lengths recited in the claims because neither dimension is critical or provide any

novelty over the prior art.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. No additional prior art was cited during the first office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,979

Page 4

Art Unit: 3764

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is (703) 308-2682.

M. Brown May 16, 2003

> Michael A. Brown Primary Examiner

Michael a. Br